

# Placental Growth Factor Diagnostic Testing: An Opportunity to Transform Pregnancy Care for Patients With Suspected Preeclampsia in Canada

Kelsey McLaughlin, PhD;<sup>1,2</sup> Melanie C. Audette, MD, PhD;<sup>3</sup> Harrison Banner, MD, MSc;<sup>4</sup> Jon Barrett, MB, MD;<sup>5</sup> Emmanuel Bujold, MD, MSc;<sup>6</sup> Honzer Chen, MD;<sup>7</sup> Nicole Cohen, MD;<sup>8</sup> Tina Delaney, MD;<sup>9</sup> Ernesto A. Figueiro-Filho, MD, PhD;<sup>10</sup> Rachel A. Gladstone, MD, MSc;<sup>2</sup> Venu Jain, MD, PhD;<sup>11,12</sup> Jessica Liauw, MD, MSc;<sup>13,14</sup> Isabelle Malhamé, MD, MSc;<sup>15,16</sup> Elad Mei-Dan, MD;<sup>2,17</sup> Nir Melamed, MD, MSc;<sup>2,18</sup> Kellie E. Murphy, MD, MSc;<sup>1,2</sup> Christopher M. Nash, MD;<sup>19</sup> Christy Pylpyjuk, MD, MSc;<sup>7</sup> Naila Ramji, MD, MSc;<sup>19,20,21</sup> Anjana Ravi Chandran, MSc;<sup>1</sup> Shani Robertson, RM;<sup>22</sup> Karen C. Tran, MD, MSc;<sup>23,24,25</sup> Ana Werlang, MD, MSc;<sup>26,27</sup> Rebecca L. Zur, MD, MSc;<sup>5</sup> John C. Kingdom, MD;<sup>1,2</sup> John W. Snelgrove, MD, MSc<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Sinai Health System, Toronto, ON

<sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON

<sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Lakeridge Health, Oshawa, ON

<sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, ON

<sup>5</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

<sup>6</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Université Laval, Québec City, QC

<sup>7</sup>Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB

<sup>8</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Trillium Health Partners, Toronto, ON

<sup>9</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL

<sup>10</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK

<sup>11</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB

<sup>12</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Royal Alexandra Hospital, Edmonton, AB

<sup>13</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC

<sup>14</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, BC Women's Hospital, Vancouver, BC

<sup>15</sup>Department of Medicine, McGill University Health Centre, Montréal, QC

<sup>16</sup>Centre for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montréal, QC

<sup>17</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, North York General Hospital, Toronto, ON

**Keywords:** placental growth factor (PIGF); preeclampsia; maternal health; obstetrics

**Corresponding author:** Kelsey McLaughlin, [Kelsey.mclaughlin@sinaihealth.ca](mailto:Kelsey.mclaughlin@sinaihealth.ca)

**Disclosures:** Kelsey McLaughlin received CIHR funding to host the Canadian PIGF Strategy & Research Consortium in November 2024. Additional funding sources included the Morra Family, Deluxe Stair & Railing, and the Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

The content of the manuscript was not affected by the interests of these funding sources.

The remaining authors declare they have nothing to disclose.

Each author has indicated they meet the journal's requirements for authorship.

Received on April 2, 2025

Accepted on April 8, 2025

Available online August 14, 2025

<sup>18</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, ON

<sup>19</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Dalhousie University, Dalhousie Medicine New Brunswick, St. John's, NL

<sup>20</sup>Department of Bioethics, Dalhousie University, St. John's, NL

<sup>21</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Dr. Everett Chalmers Regional Hospital, Fredericton, NB

<sup>22</sup>Own Volition, Toronto, ON

<sup>23</sup>Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC

<sup>24</sup>Department of Medicine, Vancouver General Hospital, Vancouver, BC

<sup>25</sup>Department of Medicine, BC Women's Hospital, Vancouver, BC

<sup>26</sup>Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Newborn Care, The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, ON

<sup>27</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON

## ABSTRACT

Preeclampsia is a leading cause of maternal morbidity and adverse perinatal outcomes in Canada. Growing evidence supports the novel blood-based biomarker placental growth factor (PIGF) as a diagnostic test to accelerate the timely diagnosis of preeclampsia, enhancing care for hypertensive pregnant patients. Despite national endorsement, challenges like regional disparities and test standardization hinder PIGF implementation. The Canadian PIGF Strategy & Research Consortium convened with representation from clinicians, researchers, and patient partners to discuss the current state of PIGF testing. We universally agreed there is an urgent need to implement diagnostic PIGF testing to improve maternal and perinatal outcomes in Canada.

## RÉSUMÉ

La pré-éclampsie est l'une des principales causes de morbidité maternelle et d'issues périnatales défavorables au Canada. De plus en plus d'éléments plaident en faveur d'un nouveau biomarqueur sanguin, le facteur de croissance placentaire (PIGF), comme test diagnostique permettant d'accélérer le diagnostic de pré-éclampsie et d'améliorer les soins aux personnes enceintes hypertendues. Malgré l'avis national favorable, des problèmes comme les disparités régionales et la normalisation des tests entravent la mise en œuvre du PIGF. Le consortium canadien de stratégie et de recherche sur le PIGF a rencontré des représentants des cliniciens, des chercheurs et des patientes pour discuter de l'état actuel des tests de PIGF. Nous sommes tous d'accord pour dire qu'il est urgent de mettre en œuvre le test diagnostique du PIGF afin d'améliorer les issues maternelles et périnatales au Canada.

© 2025 The Author. Published by ELSEVIER INC. on behalf of The Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada/La Société des obstétriciens et gynécologues du Canada. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2025;47(10):103076

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogc.2025.103076>

## THE TIME HAS COME TO CHANGE HOW WE DIAGNOSE PREECLAMPSIA

Preeclampsia continues to be one of the most severe and devastating pregnancy complications

experienced by pregnant patients, their families, and health care providers in Canada.<sup>1</sup> Preeclampsia is associated with severe maternal morbidity during pregnancy, as well as major adverse perinatal outcomes including preterm birth, stillbirth, placental abruption, and fetal growth restriction.<sup>2</sup> Importantly, preeclampsia significantly increases future risks of cardiovascular disorders in pregnant patients and their offspring, including chronic hypertension, stroke, and heart failure. The burden of preeclampsia is rising in Canada, owing in part to demographic shifts in patient demographics towards older childbearing age and increased comorbidities. Preeclampsia prevalence rates increased by over 60% between 2012 (1.6%) and 2021 (2.6%).<sup>3</sup> Significant regional variation has been observed, with Nunavut, Yukon, and Nova Scotia reporting the highest rates at 4.5%, 4.2%, and 3.4% of pregnancies, respectively.<sup>3</sup>

Timely diagnosis of preeclampsia is key to improving maternal and fetal outcomes, as it provides the opportunity to optimize maternal and fetal health and prepare for delivery. Early diagnosis allows clinicians to implement management plans, including consultation with higher-level care centres, optimally-timed corticosteroid administration to improve neonatal outcomes, inpatient hospitalization for increased surveillance, and delivery planning. However, the diagnosis of preeclampsia remains challenging. Hallmark features of preeclampsia are well-established as new onset or worsening hypertension, proteinuria, and clinical symptoms of headache, abdominal pain, visual disturbances, or hyperreflexia. While the diagnostic criteria were recently expanded to include laboratory and clinical evidence of any end-organ injury, the overall approach to diagnosis has evolved little over the past century.<sup>1,4</sup> Since the diagnosis is confirmed once end-organ injury or severe features are identified, this strategy limits the clinicians' ability to intervene proactively before serious complications arise.

A newcomer to the preeclampsia diagnostic toolkit is placental growth factor (PIGF). Growing evidence supports

this novel blood-based biomarker as a diagnostic test to accelerate the timely diagnosis of preeclampsia.<sup>5,6</sup> PIGF is a pro-angiogenic growth factor produced and released by the placental villi into the maternal circulation. It mediates maternal systemic vasodilation, thereby accommodating the significant blood volume and cardiac output increases characteristic of normal pregnancy. The most severe forms of preeclampsia, especially when associated with preterm delivery, are strongly associated with placental dysfunction due to maternal vascular malperfusion.<sup>1</sup> Suboptimal trophoblast invasion to transform the utero-placental vasculature causes chronic placental ischemia that initially represses the production and release of PIGF into the maternal circulation, followed by increased release of the vascular endothelial growth factor and PIGF antagonist soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1). Together these changes result in maternal systemic vascular dysfunction, widespread inflammation, and endothelial damage. Depressed maternal cardiac output thus renders the critical maternal organs vulnerable to hypo-perfusion injury.

The ability to identify preeclampsia based on low circulating PIGF was determined by 2 prospective multicentre studies. The Plasma Placental Growth Factor in the Diagnosis of Women with Pre-eclampsia Requiring Delivery within 14 Days (PELICAN) study explored the diagnostic accuracy of low maternal PIGF levels (<5th centile for gestation) in 287 pregnant patients presenting with suspected preeclampsia between 20<sup>0</sup> and 34<sup>6</sup> weeks gestation.<sup>5</sup> Low PIGF exhibited high sensitivity (0.96; 95% CI 0.89–0.99) and negative predictive value (0.98; 0.93–0.995) for preeclampsia within 14 days. Importantly, the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for low PIGF (ROC 0.87) was superior to all other commonly used tests, including blood pressure, proteinuria, urate, and alanine transaminase, used individually or combined (ROC range 0.58–0.76). The subsequent Placental Growth Factor to Assess and Diagnose Hypertensive Pregnant Women: a Stepped Wedge Trial (PARROT) trial explored whether clinical knowledge of maternal PIGF levels impacted time to diagnosis and subsequent maternal or perinatal adverse outcomes in 1035 patients presenting with suspected preeclampsia between 20<sup>0</sup> and 36<sup>6</sup> weeks gestation.<sup>6</sup> This trial determined that revealed PIGF reduced the time to preeclampsia diagnosis by 2.2 days relative to concealed PIGF (4.1 vs. 1.9 days; time ratio 0.36; 95% CI 0.15–0.87,  $P = 0.027$ ). There were fewer severe adverse maternal outcomes in those with revealed PIGF testing (adjusted OR 0.32; 95% CI 0.11–0.96,  $P = 0.043$ ), with no differences in perinatal adverse outcomes or gestation at delivery.

Further evidence from a real-world clinical setting of high-risk patients also supports the clinical use of PIGF testing outside of research. In March 2017, Mount Sinai Hospital was an early Canadian adopter of PIGF testing into standard management of pregnant patients with suspected hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, fetal growth restriction, or placental dysfunction.<sup>7</sup> Maternal serum PIGF testing was available anytime, with results available within 2 hours. Managing clinicians were not given specific recommendations regarding therapy, clinical intervention or delivery based on PIGF values. Retrospective analysis of 979 patients showed that those with low PIGF levels (<100 pg/mL) were significantly more likely to require imminent iatrogenic preterm birth, and had increased risks of early-onset preeclampsia and stillbirth mediated by placental causes.<sup>7</sup> Together, these findings demonstrate the advantages of using PIGF in the early diagnosis of preeclampsia.

Recent national guidelines from the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada now support the integration of diagnostic PIGF testing in Canada to assess patients with suspected preeclampsia,<sup>4</sup> and to distinguish between placenta-mediated fetal growth restriction from nonplacental causes.<sup>8</sup> Select centres in Saskatchewan, Ontario, and Nova Scotia have implemented this testing. In 2023, Health Quality Ontario recommended publicly-funded diagnostic PIGF testing for people with suspected preeclampsia as an adjunct to standard clinical assessment.<sup>9</sup> In Québec, an expert panel recently developed a proposed algorithm for PIGF diagnostic testing to help diagnose preeclampsia in pregnant individuals, with recommendations on test indications and interpretation thresholds.<sup>10</sup> This algorithm accompanied a request to the Institut national d'excellence en santé et en services sociaux to support PIGF as a clinically available test across Québec.<sup>10</sup>

In November 2024, we convened the Canadian PIGF Strategy & Research Consortium with representation from all provinces, including clinicians in obstetrics, maternal–fetal medicine, midwifery, obstetric medicine, preeclampsia researchers, and patient partners to discuss the current state of PIGF testing. Despite high-quality evidence and clinical guideline recommendations, diagnostic PIGF testing has not yet been widely integrated in Canada. We believe diagnostic PIGF testing represents a critical opportunity to improve maternal and perinatal outcomes. We came to consensus on the anticipated strengths of nationally available diagnostic PIGF testing, opportunities for its implementation, and challenges that will need to be considered.

## **BENEFITS OF DIAGNOSTIC PLGF TESTING**

### **Diagnostic PIGF Testing to Optimize Care**

We universally agreed that there is an urgent need to implement diagnostic PIGF testing after 20 weeks gestation to improve maternal and perinatal outcomes in Canada. Reducing the time to preeclampsia diagnosis by more than 2 days would provide significant benefits in clinical decision-making. Diagnostic PIGF could help guide decisions about location of care and delivery, increased maternal–fetal surveillance, and medical optimization—for example, with corticosteroids, magnesium sulphate—in anticipation of planned preterm birth.

### **Improved Birth Experience for Patients and Their Families**

PIGF testing may also improve the birth experience for patients by reducing severe adverse outcomes for those at high risk. Importantly, patients with normal PIGF test results could avoid unnecessary regional consultations, surveillance, medical interventions, and relocation. These benefits may reduce the significant mental health burden for patients and their families associated with medical intervention and geographic relocation.

## **FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES**

### **Leveraging Existing Infrastructure**

Opportunities exist to build upon the current laboratory infrastructure for aneuploidy screening to incorporate PIGF diagnostic testing, rather than creating entirely new systems.

### **Leveraging PIGF for Quality Improvement**

We recognized the potential for using PIGF data to drive quality improvement initiatives, such as optimizing prophylactic aspirin uptake, enhancing birth timing precision, and reducing unnecessary patient transfers or medical interventions.

## **CHALLENGES WITH PLGF IMPLEMENTATION**

### **Standardization of Assays and Protocols**

We identified potential challenges regarding calibration and coordination of PIGF assays and laboratory platforms, creation of representative reference ranges for Canada's diverse population, and standardization of testing indications. The development of clear

protocols for interpretation and clinical decision-making was highlighted, especially in rural and remote communities.

### **Addressing Equity, Access, and Ethics**

The group acknowledged the significant barriers that hinder the accurate and timely diagnosis of preeclampsia in Canada, including geographic isolation, limited primary care access, and the social determinants of health, including racial and ethnic disparities, immigration status, income, and social capital. The Consortium emphasized the need to ensure equitable implementation of PIGF testing, especially for the 20% of Canadians who live in rural, remote, Indigenous, coastal, or northern communities. Bioethical considerations identifying the need for robust informed consent processes, comprehensive patient education, and anticipation of potential unintended consequences of diagnostic PIGF testing were highlighted.

## **CONCLUSION**

Canada is uniquely positioned to lead the clinical integration of diagnostic PIGF testing. Our diverse geographic, socioeconomic, and cultural identities offer the perfect opportunity to advance research around PIGF as a diagnostic tool and its implementation into clinical care pathways. Diagnostic PIGF testing has the potential to improve maternal and perinatal outcomes related to preeclampsia, and level barriers to equitable, effective obstetric health care in Canada. The time has come to unleash the power of diagnostic PIGF testing in Canada.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Chappell LC, Cluver CA, Kingdom J, et al. Pre-eclampsia. *Lancet* 2021;398:341–54.
2. Malhamé I, Nerenberg K, McLaughlin K, et al. Hypertensive disorders and cardiovascular severe maternal morbidity in the US, 2015–2019. *JAMA Netw Open* 2024;7:e2436478.
3. Dzakpasu S, Nelson C, Darling EK, et al. Trends in rate of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and associated morbidities in Canada: a population-based study (2012–2021). *CMAJ* 2024;196:E897–904.
4. Magee LA, Smith GN, Bloch C, et al. Guideline no. 426: hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: diagnosis, prediction, prevention, and management. *J Obstet Gynecol Can* 2022;44:547–571.e1.
5. Chappell LC, Duckworth S, Seed PT, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of placental growth factor in women with suspected preeclampsia: a prospective multicenter study. *Circulation* 2013;128:2121–31.
6. Duhig KE, Myers J, Seed PT, et al. Placental growth factor testing to assess women with suspected pre-eclampsia: a multicentre, pragmatic, stepped-wedge cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019;393:1807–18.

7. McLaughlin K, Snelgrove JW, Audette MC, et al. PIGF (Placental Growth Factor) testing in clinical practice: evidence from a Canadian Tertiary Maternity Referral Center. *Hypertension* 2021;77:2057–65.
8. Kingdom J, Ashwal E, Lausman A, et al. Guideline no. 442: fetal growth restriction: screening, diagnosis, and management in singleton pregnancies. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2023;45:102154.
9. Ontario Health (Quality). Placental growth factor (PIGF)- based biomarker testing to help diagnose pre-eclampsia in people with suspected pre-eclampsia: a health technology assessment. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser* 2023;23:1–146.
10. Malhamé I, Demers S, Moramarco V, et al. Proposed utilization of PIGF-based diagnostic testing for suspected preeclampsia in Québec: insights from an expert panel discussion. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2025;47:102759.